

Funding projects for Spanish public universities in research, development, and innovation related areas

Thamyres T. Choji^{*}, J. A. Moral-Munoz^{**}, and M. J. Cobo^{***}

^{*}*choji@uca.es*

ORCID 0000-0002-8158-6124

Department of Nursing and Physiotherapy, University of Cadiz, Spain

^{**}*joseantonio.moral@uca.es*

ORCID 0000-0002-6465-982X

Department of Nursing and Physiotherapy, University of Cadiz, Spain

Institute of Research and Innovation in Biomedical Sciences of the Province of Cadiz (INiBICA), Cadiz (Spain)

^{***}*mjcobo@decsai.ugr.es*

ORCID 0000-0001-6575-803X

Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, Andalusian Research Institute in Data Science and Computational Intelligence (DaSCI), University of Granada, Spain

This contribution examines the integration of Science of Science and data visualization to acquire insight into the scientific landscape of funding projects for Spanish public universities, as well as to detect the research, development and innovation related areas and analyse its development by universities. We analyse 18,423 Spanish funding, specifically in public universities. The performance analysis was based on granted projects, budget, paper production and the relative specialisation index. The findings show that *Chemical Sciences and Technologies* and *Biomedicine* receive the highest number of projects, budget and have high production rates, while *Computer-based Technologies* also showed high production, although does not receive as much funding. In contrast, *Gender and Women studies* demonstrate low investment and research output. Our analysis contributes to broaden knowledge about the national scientific production, and it could be used to detect strengths and weaknesses in the development of science in Spain.

1. Introduction

The measure of scientific knowledge is often based on its papers production and its impact, and the analysis of different fields at a global or local level is a common approach to understand how knowledge is organized (Fortunato et al., 2018). This information, related to the topics covered by each field, is useful in understanding how knowledge is configured and structured at a global level. At the local level, it represents an understanding of the country's participation in the construction of knowledge. Likewise, with the development of science itself, its production increase in number and complexity and the Science of Science (SoS) becomes fundamental to understand, quantify and predict this complex system (Zeng et al., 2017).

In fact, the Nature publishing group annually publishes a study based on the scientific production of the previous year. The analysis focuses on the main institutions responsible for the production of papers and the areas of knowledge which are the highest production in each country ("Nature Index," s.f.). This knowledge allows understanding at a large-scale level and determines who and where handles scientific production in a country. Then, the SoS is used to study scientific knowledge from different perspectives. Given this potential, it is essential to consider this field as valuable for understanding the science landscape and supporting the policymakers in strategic decisions, such as developing resource allocation policies and understanding the impact of specific policies for example. However, due to the complexity of the science system, accurate measurement and assessment of scientific knowledge transfer is challenging. To address this complexity, various indicators have been developed to increase the accuracy of measurements (Donovan & Butler, 2007). However, any method of measurement has inherent potential limitations. For instance, metrics based solely on paper production and

impact are not suitable for comparing academics from different fields, this is due to potential variations in the behavior of these variables within each research field, and which could imply an unfair comparison between them (Zeng et al., 2017). Therefore, it is recommended to consider multiple variables, in addition to those mentioned above, as well as a thorough understanding of what needs to be measured, in order to select or develop an approach that provides a more accurate assessment of scientific research.

The complexity of the evaluation process has been addressed by several authors from different perspectives. On the one hand, evaluation can be analysed by accessing different research fields, analyzing and/or developing indicators specifically tailored to examine the landscape of each field (Butler, 2008). On the other hand, evaluation can be performed by analyzing the funding projects awarded in different research fields and their impact on each area that received a grant (Cattaneo, Meoli, & Signori, 2016; Thomas, Nedeva, Tirado, & Jacob, 2020).

To the best of our knowledge, there is no previous analysis of the funding projects in Spain. Therefore, in order to address one of these perspectives of research evaluation, with this contribution, we propose merging SoS and data visualization to analyse funding projects related to research and development (R&D) and research, development, and innovation (R&D&I), identifying fields of knowledge and its behaviour according to the number of research projects awarded, papers produced, budget received, investment per paper, and specialisation rate in Spanish public universities. The focus of the study was public universities, given the relevance of understanding what is at the core of their investment and production, identifying strengths and weakness.

2. Methodology

We propose a methodology based on four phases: *i*) Data acquisition, *ii*) Data filtering, *iii*) Performance analysis, and *iv*) Visualisation.

Data acquisition

In order to analyse funding projects for Spanish public universities in R&D&I related areas, we retrieved projects related to three calls issued by the Spanish State Research Agency: *i*) excellence/knowledge generation in R&D, *ii*) knowledge generation and research challenges in R&D&I, and *iii*) research challenges in R&D&I. These data were collected in the Spanish State Research Agency, a Spanish agency focused on promoting the development of high-impact scientific research. The query was performed in March 2023, to retrieve funding information for projects granted in the period between 2013-2021 and retrieved 26.066 unique projects that contained information about the projects call, the institution that received the funding, the funding identification, the field of knowledge covered by the project, the autonomous community where the institution that received the funding is based, and the amount received. To enrich the further analysis, the total of papers related to each funding project was retrieved through a query performed in the Scopus database using the grant number supporting the work.

Data filtering

To ensure that observations are as precise as possible, we focused our analysis on Spanish public universities that fulfil analogous scopes of work and activities. After data collection, the public universities in Spain were identified based on the list of recognised universities by the Spanish authorities (Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte, 2008). The dataset used is can be downloaded at DOI: [10.6084/m9.figshare.22643872.v1](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22643872.v1) (Choji, Moral-Munoz, & Cobo, 2023a).

Performance analysis

In order to analyse the performance of the public universities in Spain, our research is based on the number of national projects received by each university, the amount of funding represented by these projects, the number of papers produced in each field, the average cost of each paper, and the relative specialisation index (RSI). The RSI is an indicator used to measure the research profile of a country in a field of knowledge and compare its specialization rates in production with worldwide production (Aksnes, van Leeuwen, & Sivertsen, 2014). In the current research, we adapted the RSI using the metrics related to each university and the total production of all the public universities. This adjustment allows us to standardize the criteria for comparison in the degree of specialization of the different public universities at the national level and among universities.

All these metrics were calculated for each public university to provide a more specific view of each field of knowledge in the national scenario. With these metrics, we can evaluate and compare the scientific production in different fields and link this information with the granted projects, estimating each paper's costs and detecting the specialisation rate for each university in different fields. The RSI calculation is based on the Thematic Specialization Index (TSI):

$$TSI = a/b / c/d$$

Where a = number of publications of university X in area Y; b = number of publications of university X in all areas; c = number of publications from all universities in area Y and d = number of publications of all universities in all areas. Then,

$$RSI = \left(\frac{TSI - 1}{TSI + 1} \right)$$

The RSI range from -1 to 1, with 0 representing the mean output of universities in the field. The RSI lower than 0 means that the output rate is lower than the average, while RSI greater than 1 represents that the output rate is higher than the average.

Visualisation

To display the relationship between the areas of knowledge and the public universities that received funding in the computed metrics, a set of five heatmaps was generated. A heatmap is a type of graph that uses colour to represent numerical values in a matrix or table, representing multivariate data and aiding in the visualization of the relation between variables (Gehlenborg & Wong, 2012). By using colour to represent values, we can quickly identify areas of high and low activity and compare them across different dimensions. Each column in the heatmap represents the areas of knowledge for which the project was funded, while each row represents the public university that received funding for such projects. Using three colours gradient allows to highlight the low and high values and makes it more visible to the reader. Here, the colour green indicates high values, the yellow represents intermediate values and the grey the low ones.

3. Results

To create comprehensive analysis of Spanish scientific research in the public university scenario, this study analysed 18,423 funding projects. Projects that did not meet the criteria of being from a public university were excluded to prevent bias. Therefore, the funding projects

were awarded to 48 different universities and focused on 39 different fields of knowledge. The Spanish government invested €1,932 billion between the years 2013 and 2021.

As previously indicated, this study was conducted to construct and comprehend the national landscape of investment in R&D&I. Accordingly, the number of projects, research papers, investments, investment per paper, and RSI were computed and are presented in Figures 1-5. To view them with high-resolution, please visit DOI: [10.6084/m9.figshare.22656988.v1](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22656988.v1) (Choji, Moral-Munoz, & Cobo, 2023b).

It is possible to observe that investments occur in different areas of knowledge, and the papers production varies across each university. The areas with the highest number of national projects in R&D&I are *Chemical Sciences and Technologies*, *Biomedicine*, *Law*, and *Environmental Sciences and Technologies*. *Chemical Sciences and Technologies* receive the second highest amount of funding for research and have the highest paper production in the country. *Biomedicine* is the area that receives the highest amount of funding, although the cost of investment per paper is one of the most expensive, close to papers produced in *Energy and Transport*, and in *Bioscience and Biotechnology*. *Computer-based Technologies* is the area with the second highest papers production in the country and the number of projects received is at the mean compared to other areas. However, in this area, the amount of investment earned and the investment per paper are considerably lower compared to other universities.

The areas of knowledge with a lower number of projects and with lower investment are *Gender and Women Studies*, followed by *Sports science* and *Astronomy and Astrophysics*. The areas with lower paper production are *Gender and Women studies*, *Culture: Philology, Literature and Art* and *Law*.

At the university level, the *Universidad de Barcelona* received the highest number of projects, budget, and paper production. Regarding the RSI, the highlighted areas are *Biomedicine*, and *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, although the conceived projects and papers production were mainly in *Biomedicine* and *Chemical Sciences and Technologies*. In the sequence, we observe the *Universidad Complutense de Madrid*, in which the highest production is in *Chemical Sciences and Technologies*, *Biomedicine*, *Computer-based Technologies* and *Materials*. In the same university, the areas with the highest RSI were *Gender and women studies*, *Physics science* and *Particle physics and accelerators*. On the other hand, the *Universidad Politecnica de Cartagena* is one of those that received the lowest number of R&D&I projects. Nevertheless, it demonstrated the highest investment per paper, highlighting the cost of paper in *Space Research* and *Materials Sciences and Technology*. In contrast, the *Universidad de Burgos*, the *Universidad de la Rioja*, the *Universidad de Huelva* and the *Universidad Politecnica de Cartagena* received the lowest number of projects. The first three presented the lowest budget and the lowest paper production, with less than 600 articles.

Figure 3: Number of papers produced in each area of knowledge in Spanish public universities.

UNIVERSITY	ASTRONOMY AND ASTROPHYSICS	BIOSCIENCES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY	BIODIVERSITY, EARTH SCIENCES AND GLOBAL CHANGE	FUNDAMENTAL BIOLOGY	BIOMEDICINE	BIOTECHNOLOGY	AGRICULTURAL AND AGRI-FOOD SCIENCES	EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES	SPORTS SCIENCE	PHYSICS SCIENCES	MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES	SOCIAL SCIENCES	MATERIALS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES	CHEMICAL SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGIES	CONSTRUCTION	CULTURE: PHILOLOGY, LITERATURE AND ART	LAW	DESIGN AND INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION	ECONOMY	ENERGY	ENERGY AND TRANSPORT	STUDIES OF THE PAST: HISTORY AND ARCHAEOLOGY	GENDER AND WOMEN STUDIES	PHILOLOGY AND PHILOSOPHY	PHYSICS	PARTICLE PHYSICS AND ACCELERATORS	HISTORY AND ART	SPACE RESEARCH	MATHEMATICS	MATERIALS	MEANS OF TRANSPORT	MIND, LANGUAGE AND THOUGHT	INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION, CIVIL ENGINEERING AND ENGINEERING FOR SOCIETY	PSYCHOLOGY	AGRI-FOOD RESOURCES AND TECHNOLOGIES	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGIES	ELECTRONIC AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGIES	COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES	PRODUCED PAPERS	
UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA DE BARCELONA	91	42	141	73	502	49	35	69	4	38	137	208	33	180	531	0	6	14	82	327	45	1	24	11	36	175	46	106	722	124	4	22	26	126	114	213	385	203	4963		
UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA DE MADRID	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	79	25	336	70	29	149	179	719	0	1	6	22	150	45	4	11	11	36	454	170	36	254	528	0	20	56	225	68	219	127	292	5254		
UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	120	61	51	7	0	0	5	320	340	113	56	4	4	7	7	143	11	11	302	71	202	19	150	19	256	665	297	3358			
UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID	71	58	372	37	465	79	46	19	1	309	145	115	198	314	1114	13	4	14	128	2	26	19	21	19	21	40	404	88	70	380	448	14	189	125	220	37	453	6400			
UNIVERSIDAD DE ALCALA	27	1	97	74	0	0	8	0	0	2	1	12	0	87	339	13	2	11	5	12	5	9	9	4	4	0	17	0	10	56	10	0	64	0	28	181	253	180	1550		
UNIVERSIDAD DE ALICANTE	46	0	87	15	6	11	0	35	0	32	49	59	73	105	762	15	0	4	38	172	0	7	4	7	4	0	87	18	14	50	235	0	9	0	6	172	82	274	3467		
UNIVERSIDAD DE ALMERIA	248	70	383	190	852	96	41	113	46	287	6	62	37	44	141	0	42	98	76	0	6	4	4	6	6	0	108	63	98	12	173	6	108	63	98	12	173	1156			
UNIVERSIDAD DE BARCELONA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6964		
UNIVERSIDAD DE BURGOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	475	
UNIVERSIDAD DE CADIZ	20	29	15	31	31	3	21	12	11	41	5	32	173	58	114	1	0	22	18	32	35	8	5	0	0	0	72	166	36	4	10	21	14	125	175	85	209	1434			
UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA	50	19	32	31	54	3	8	10	43	21	52	8	32	121	145	91	0	17	47	13	9	5	5	0	0	0	48	2	47	12	256	63	25	0	56	10	96	247	94	1714	
UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA LA MANCHA	28	180	55	81	20	41	10	43	1	30	16	10	254	469	66	2	12	184	254	133	123	4	16	2	2	0	2	16	106	71	24	2	53	22	137	237	75	330	3907		
UNIVERSIDAD DE CORDOBA	40	102	152	35	33	64	17	3	3	3	3	3	32	338	66	5	1	5	32	12	32	12	1	1	1	0	6	122	106	71	24	8	24	55	173	24	23	157	1513		
UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA	34	6	88	99	47	2	33	30	9	25	25	6	38	21	69	4	0	3	3	32	3	0	0	0	0	0	78	67	0	0	0	8	8	156	104	38	132	1239			
UNIVERSIDAD DE GIRONA	2	139	9	5	20	43	1	48	12	47	97	571	37	2	8	124	77	0	124	77	1	2	2	2	0	0	30	88	26	1	43	9	113	21	7	93	1710				
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA	54	27	478	32	175	38	59	81	193	144	198	62	66	183	383	30	5	17	81	280	12	5	10	7	101	375	76	34	30	603	145	13	46	26	445	125	374	250	801	6064	
UNIVERSIDAD DE HUELVA	3	71	0	0	0	0	1	26	0	63	0	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	27	27	3	0	4	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	549
UNIVERSIDAD DE JAEN	19	10	62	24	48	10	2	9	0	2	2	2	2	19	16	6	0	0	60	19	98	9	0	0	0	0	19	3	11	88	7	173	4	11	88	7	173	4	177	946	
UNIVERSIDAD DE LA CORUNA	0	48	4	10	3	33	8	14	20	20	5	6	40	163	85	2	7	94	22	15	3	1	6	40	40	4	18	245	72	11	3	38	10	19	307	113	437	1930			
UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA	10	8	34	89	2	22	15	3	30	3	30	3	40	20	6	1	0	22	35	89	3	4	4	6	40	4	116	219	116	219	116	219	116	219	116	219	116	219	116	219	
UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	594
UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA	42	0	117	0	17	4	28	61	2	32	6	26	13	225	629	3	2	91	96	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	48	1	37	104	31	24	8	106	49	82	127	143	2133		
UNIVERSIDAD DE LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA	0	27	1	0	1	1	0	22	1	19	0	19	0	104	14	50	0	7	85	2	6	2	2	2	2	2	4	9	12	3	0	5	10	48	106	0	0	0	0	0	549
UNIVERSIDAD DE LEON	4	47	12	28	11	55	11	36	1	20	15	17	15	30	10	8	6	38	29	37	131	110	1	1	1	1	16	12	108	19	24	6	20	163	19	24	0	0	0	1198	
UNIVERSIDAD DE LEON	70	40	15	43	44	82	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	
UNIVERSIDAD DE MALAGA	23	84	23	56	52	23	36	27	56	3	61	47	50	15	386	32	4	2	112	169	39	11	1	0	2	24	1	174	52	7	3	47	133	96	251	205	502	2750			
UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA	30	107	53	133	36	37	56	18	39	32	10	0	29	276	7	0	20	128	16	3	15	2	0	0	0	0	26	40	29	252	124	5	81	260	124	5	246	2316			
UNIVERSIDAD DE ORENSE	36	13	103	10	61	17	4	19	11	12	16	13	53	68	643	20	11	7	132	126	73	2	5	2	1	2	11	22	7	10	182	223	0	60	174	41	153	130	294	2740	
UNIVERSIDAD DE SALAMANCA	25	68	78	172	21	44	58	5	19	59	18	50	7	31	59	6	1	21	146	24	2	5	5	2	1	2	26	145	47	54	38	29	100	88	31	30	119	3693			
UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA	14	66	79	129	355	15	26	73	30	97	64	105	101	469	4	6	10	3	40	50	4	13	8	100	40	0	605	273	605	273	16	162	203	141	27	217	3740				
UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA	62	128	161	142	68	25	121	112	292	40	97	106	147	127	5	20	410	153	135	44	16	0	25	224	62	50	12	650	287	17	7	209	118	162	189	326	210	5129			
UNIVERSIDAD DE VALENCIA	143	50	206	164	241	12	92	77	16	207	152	89	141	117	1188	33	6	46	47	517	2	1	18	0	49	239	463	72	56	398	332	72	56	315	251	139	86	6093			
UNIVERSIDAD DE VALLADOLID	9	6	49	49	30	32	13	13	30	31	70	27	117	96	344	6	4	0	73	228	48	7	4	3	23	16	8	56	66	196	186	2311									
UNIVERSIDAD DE VIGO	18	145	18	12	4	54	0	5	9	38	26	37	486	159	13	2	1	13	174	123	28	1	80	48	1	3	7	161	51	3	4	61	3	95	63	281	118	2264			
UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA	66	202	126	115	36	40	27	30	77	92	46	64	95	389	8	8	7	267	434	233	27	39	114	132	99	43	198	311	198	311	198	311	198	311	198	311	198	311	323	4339	
UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAIS VASCO EUSKAL HERRIKO UNIBERSITATEA	34	50	108	31	305	73	17	41	20	199	42	31	154	133	895	44	12	6	298	286	115	118	17	148	148	400	47	91	341	534	12	80	136	90	79	320	140	404	5861		

4. Discussion

In this section, we discuss the results and present insights on research funding projects in Spanish public universities. Our aim was to combine SoS with data visualization to identify the research fields and public universities that received grant projects in R&D and R&D&I from a national perspective. This study reveals highlighted areas of knowledge based on the number of funding projects received, budget, production, and RSI. Our heat maps enable comparisons between the same research fields across different universities, providing a comprehensive view of national R&D&I projects and enhancing our understanding of funding distribution.

The areas *Chemical Sciences and Technologies*, *Biomedicine*, and *Biotechnology* have shown high income for funding projects. *Chemical Sciences and Technologies* achieved one of the highest papers productions in Spain. These results align with the findings of 2022 year achieved by the Nature publishing group (“Nature Index|Country/territory outputs|Spain,” s.f.). According to Yan E. (2015), Chemical Engineering-related fields demonstrate the fastest growth in scientific impact, achieving recognition and diffusion in a short period. Moreover, our study revealed that *Electronic and Communication Technologies* and *Computer-based Technologies* had the highest production and RSI. Both areas are considered to be Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) and have significant potential for application and growth. At university level, it is interesting to note that even in cases of relatively low investment, such as the *Universidad de Burgos*, *Universidad de la Rioja* and *Universidad de Huelva*, *Chemical Sciences and Technologies* was the field that received the highest number of funded projects and budget allocation. In all three cases, the budget allocated to this specific field was the highest compared to other fields, and the paper production in this area was higher than in other fields explored within each respective university.

In contrast, *Gender and Women Studies* showed been underexplored by Spanish public universities. Only twelve Spanish universities received funding in this research field, which could explain the low investment, RSI, and paper production in projects related to R&D&I in this area.

Regarding areas with low RSI, such as *Law* and *Mind, Language and Thought*, they predominantly belong to humanities-related fields. Some studies have reported significant differences in the pattern of production and citation within this field compared to others, and the metrics used for evaluation need to fit to the analysis performed (Ochsner, Hug, & Daniel, 2016). These differences may be due to the fact that knowledge production in different fields contributes to different publication categories, including books, book chapters, journal articles, and conference papers (Butler, 2008). Therefore, to improve the assessment of different research fields one of the perspectives is to study the knowledge transfer between them. This suggests that using of the RSI to directly compare Formal, Natural and Applied-sciences to Social-sciences could be imprecise and may not represent the reality. Additionally, when the analysis is focused on citations rates, it is essential to consider that the works achieve the highest citation level approximately 7 years after the publication (Yan, 2016). Likewise, the time could affect similarly in the project’s papers production, which means that the progress of funding projects awarded between 2020-2021 may not have been fully observed yet, as it may take some time for scientific articles to be published. However, this potential delay applies to all areas and projects analysed and does not significantly impact the findings of the analysis.

Therefore, it is as important to study the complexity of both the scientific structure and the potential impact of funding projects as it is to develop methodologies to evaluate them (Kenkmann, Schumacher, Freiburg, Eisenmann, & Muckenfuss, 2020). This is because

different circumstances can lead to different results. For example, when evaluating the effects of specific policies across different universities, Cattaneo et al. (2014) demonstrated that universities with high legitimacy perceived greater benefits in terms of output production and performance in response to funding mechanisms compared to other universities (Cattaneo et al., 2016). Regarding the management of funding projects, Butler et al. (2001) analysed their application in terms of the reward system and observed an increase in scientific output despite a decrease in quality in the Australian context (Butler, 2003). These observations may have implications for the performance of both the funded project and the universities themselves. It is therefore essential to develop an evaluation infrastructure that considers the complexity of the fields and the behavior of universities, with the aim of the most accurate evaluation results possible.

Our approach and findings have the potential to benefit both researchers directly involved in the projects and individuals not directly involved in the research. On the one hand, the use of heat maps improves the efficiency of the benchmarking process, thereby facilitating the identification of potential collaborations. On the other hand, our findings can be used to support policymakers in their resource allocation decisions, to serve as a data source for critical studies assessing the impact of specific policies, and to provide insights into the expertise of different fields and universities in a country-level perspective (Thomas et al., 2020), among others.

Although these findings are relevant, it is necessary to report and discuss some limitations. Our study included some measurements based on research output, but science is marked by inequality, random chance, and other factors that make it difficult to measure the impact of research (Bornmann, 2017). In addition, research output can be influenced by factors, such as gender, age, academic qualification, academic rank, and other characteristics (Armijos Valdivieso, Avolio Alecchi, & Arévalo-Avecillas, 2022). In this respect, the metrics used to compare different areas may have a negative impact on the humanities-related fields, and the evaluation criteria used in this contribution may not accurately represent achievement in those areas. Additionally, we were unable to conduct a gender analysis of the principal researcher due to a lack of information. In future research, we aim to cover the impact of the projects by looking at the citations received by the papers in order to better understand the performance achieved in each field and to identify the gender balance in funding projects in Spain.

5. Conclusions

This contribution analyses the funding projects for Spanish public universities in areas related to R&D&I research, from 2013 to 2021. As demonstrated, the highest budget, granted projects and production were found in *Chemical Sciences and Technologies* and *Biomedicine*, while *Computer-based technologies* also has higher production, although low funding. In contrast, the area *Gender and Women studies* received few projects, investment, and just a few Spanish universities conducting research in R&D&I in this area. Regarding the metrics presented in this contribution, it is relevant to acknowledge that these indicators are imprecise for analysing the performance of humanities-related fields and, that comparing disciplines within Formal, Natural and Applied Sciences to those within Social Sciences can be challenging due to differences in production patterns. The findings highlight the potential of integrating SoS and data visualization as a powerful tool to improve understanding to the Spanish funding landscape. The implications of these results are diverse, such as providing a valuable resource for identifying potential collaborations based on research fields within the country, initiating discussions on the impact of funding projects, and potentially assisting policymakers and government agencies in formulating science policies.

Open science practices

The data used and Figures presented are available in the supplementary material (dataset: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22643872.v1>; Figures: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22656988.v1>)

Author contributions

Thamyres T. Choji: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualisation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Jose A. Moral-Munoz: Conceptualisation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualisation, Writing – review & editing.

Manuel J. Cobo: Conceptualisation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualisation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding information

This contribution is part of the Spanish State Research Agency through the project PID2019-105381GA-I00/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (iScience) and is part of the first author (Choji, Thamyres T.) thesis. In addition, the authors are thankful for the funding received by the University of Cadiz and University of Granada for conference attendance as part of the "Plan propio 2022-2023".

References

- Aksnes, D. W., van Leeuwen, T. N., & Sivertsen, G. (2014). The effect of booming countries on changes in the relative specialization index (RSI) on country level. *Scientometrics*, *101*(2), 1391–1401.
- Armijos Valdivieso, P., Avolio Alecchi, B., & Arévalo-Avecillas, D. (2022). Factors that Influence the Individual Research Output of University Professors: The Case of Ecuador, Peru, and Colombia. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, *21*(4), 450–468.
- Bornmann, L. (2017). Measuring impact in research evaluations: A thorough discussion of methods for, effects of and problems with impact measurements. *Higher Education*, *73*(5), 775–787.
- Butler, L. (2003). Explaining Australia’s increased share of ISI publications—The effects of a funding formula based on publication counts. *Research Policy*, *32*(1), 143–155.
- Butler, L. (2008). ICT assessment: Moving beyond journal outputs. *Scientometrics*, *74*(1), 39–55.
- Cattaneo, M., Meoli, M., & Signori, A. (2016). Performance-based funding and university research productivity: The moderating effect of university legitimacy. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *41*(1), 85–104.
- Choji, T. T., Moral-Munoz, J. A., & Cobo, M. J. (2023a). Data funding projects—Spanish public universities. Retrieved from https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Data_funding_projects_-_Spanish_public_universities/22643872
- Choji, T. T., Moral-Munoz, J. A., & Cobo, M. J. (2023b). Heat Maps—Spanish public universities. Retrieved from https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Heat_Maps_-_Spanish_public_universities/22656988
- Donovan, C., & Butler, L. (2007). Testing novel quantitative indicators of research “quality”, esteem and “user engagement”: An economics pilot study. *Research Evaluation*, *16*(4), 231–242.
- Fortunato, S., Bergstrom, C. T., Börner, K., Evans, J. A., Helbing, D., Milojević, S., Petersen, A. M., et al. (2018). Science of science. *Science*, *359*(6379), eaao0185.

- Gehlenborg, N., & Wong, B. (2012). Heat maps. *Nature Methods*, 9(3), 213–213.
- Kenkmann, T., Schumacher, K., Freiburg, O.-I., Eisenmann, L., & Muckenfuss, L. (2020). Municipalities in focus: Evaluating the Local Authorities Guideline (LAG) within the National Climate Initiative (NCI) of Germany: Challenges and findings. Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte. (2008, September 25). Registro de Universidades, Centros y Títulos (RUCT). Retrieved April 17, 2023, from <https://www.educacion.gob.es/ruct/home>
- Nature Index. (s.f.). Retrieved April 18, 2023, from <https://www.nature.com/nature-index/>
- Nature Index|Country/territory outputs|Spain. (s.f.). Retrieved April 18, 2023, from <https://www.nature.com/nature-index/country-outputs/Spain>
- Ochsner, M., Hug, S. E., & Daniel, H.-D. (Eds.). (2016). *Research Assessment in the Humanities*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. Retrieved from <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-29016-4>
- Thomas, D. A., Nedeva, M., Tirado, M. M., & Jacob, M. (2020). Changing research on research evaluation: A critical literature review to revisit the agenda. *Research Evaluation*, 29(3), 275–288.
- Yan, E. (2016). Disciplinary knowledge production and diffusion in science. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 67(9), 2223–2245.
- Zeng, A., Shen, Z., Zhou, J., Wu, J., Fan, Y., Wang, Y., & Stanley, H. E. (2017). The science of science: From the perspective of complex systems. *Physics Reports*, 714–715, 1–73.